

PUBLIC EXHIBITION COMMENTS

Comments Sheets

Level of Support	Comments
Strongly Support	Hoping for additional train services with increased population
Strongly Support	I don't agree with all the plans but in general they have the potential to greatly improve the town. Good work!
Strongly Support	The traffic needs stabilising through the town.
Strongly Support	If done properly it will be great!
Strongly Support	Maintain cycle ways better, fix dropped drains & potholes.
Strongly Support	1. I'd like to see greater integration between active travel and leisure cycle routes to open Haddington, Pencaitland, North Berwick, etc., more for cycle commuting; 2. Lots of education will be needed to make sure multi-use paths are safe. (Too many pedestrians using headphones etc.)
Strongly Support	Much needed improvements. Support residents parking permits + parking enforcement. Remove at least one side of high street parking.
Strongly Support	Bold plans and there will be challenges. Funding, political, public, engineering. Comments on post its seem positive but it will be harder to win over the wider community- especially if they are potentially inconvenienced.
Strongly Support	It would be good for people and families to get the use of cycle way around and about Wallyford, Musselburgh & district to get away from T.V., laptops and arm chair activities.
Strongly Support	Please prioritise the encouragement of new Musselburgh residents to access the town centre - reopen the Pinkie Gate at Linkfield to get the ball rolling!
Strongly Support	Accommodate routes for horse rideouts & leisure riding. Consider bridge from Murdoch Green to Cockenzie joining up to motorway (for the future).
Strongly Support	Wonderful for pedestrians and cyclists. So many kids able to cycle to school etc. Great!
Strongly Support	For commuters like myself, we need to know what happens in the Portobello and Leith directions. Welcome development in Nth High st. A continuous River Esk cycle route with underpass at the Mall bridge would be a useful development.
Strongly Support	Discourage general parking in Musselburgh high streets. Create a car park eg on unused land next to the doctors. High st is for cycles, pedestrians, buses, deliveries, disabled drivers only.
Strongly Support	Hopefully worthwhile. Need people to think of others more.
Strongly Support	Label maps with area names. Make maps with photo numbers.
Strongly Support	Stronger support in principle, though there are a lot of practical problems to be overcome.
Strongly Support	Fantastic- just what is needed to transform travel choices. Go for it!
Strongly Support	
Strongly Support	Good to separate cyclists from cars + buses. Important to give cyclists safe passage round roundabouts.
Strongly Support	I think all proposals should be implemented as is. Release Musselburgh from the grip of cars. I also think we should get away from the idea of needing to provide car parking. Be brave ELL/AECOM.
Strongly Support	The masterplan must deliver its vision. Any deviation which is significant must be subject to public consultation to ensure community involvement and community buy in.
Strongly Support	Sustainable transport definition usually covers public transport too? How are bus stops catered for eg. On Linkfield Rd with 2-way cycleway on south side of road? Keen to see an illustration of how this would work. I'd be unhappy to see facilities for bus passengers diminish as a result of this work. Indeed, bus priority measures would surely be justified in Musselburgh with such a high frequency of bus routes. At the moment buses on all routes get caught up in congestion delays and it would not be a good result if these changes made matters even worse for buses and therefore bus services less attractive to passengers. We must accept that for many people, walking/cycling is not a viable option and making public transport less accessible for disabled/walking difficulty residents & visitors would be a retrograde step. (6) Why parallel paths either side of railway stn at Newcraighall. Save cost of underpass + have just one spur on the P+R side. Liaise QMU re footpath to Newcraighall- check their own plans for lighting in the winter/nights, fear of attacks etc. (?) No coastal link on sea-side of lagoons? This route is most likely to attract leisure walkers/cyclists for the first time, who are more likely to become regular walkers/cyclists elsewhere. (3) Support new bridge over river.
Support	A199- Concerns with segregated cycleway along race course route. Large groups of cyclists use this route during evenings and weekends. They won't be able to use narrow cycle lane and will most likely use road. This may frustrate motorists as they are not on cycle lanes. Better option would be to have cycle lane markings only both directions
Support	Encouraging to see those routes being considered- please continue to consult with residents & businesses as the plans develop in more detail.
Support	Looks a great project which will benefit the community hugely. Slight concern on how this high street will be managed. Limited options
Support	<illegible>
Support	Missing links to Whitecraig esp. along Carberry Road
Support	Particular useful plans 5,6,9
Support	As a keen cyclist I applaud any effort to make safer cycling routes
Support	Road widths on bus routes need to be wide enough to accommodate buses.
Support	These proposals will affect the bus routes in a significant way & will slow them down. The proposals are all very laudable but the real problem is vehicular traffic.
Neither Support or Oppose	I would support this- except for proposals on Newcraighall Road at Whitehill St (Newcraighall village). Residents on main road (cottages) have over 100%+ traffic increase. Wide double width pavement allows residents to park directly in front of homes. I have done so for over 25 years & more. Nervous for privacy. Any increased cycling, pedestrians should not be at expense of residents & provision for parking essential.

Neither Support or Oppose	Whilst agreeing in principle with the objectives I am concerned that many of the measures proposed will cause more congestion + will delay buses. I don't think you can consider cycling + walking in isolation as this initiative appears to do. Public transport, parking + the movement of cars must be looked at together. This proposal will do nothing for buses and without constraints being imposed on the through movement of cars across Musselburgh will only lead to more congestion.
Neither Support or Oppose	Linkfield road has a number of entrances/exits onto the proposed two way cycle lane. Conflict will arise as vehicles/bikes hinder movement.
Neither Support or Oppose	Great for cyclists. What about buses? Enhanced delays. Bus priority schemes? Removal of cars/congestion. How will you do this? Needs to linked. Strategy must improve bus movements, not impede them. (Bus and cycle areas, remove cars, encourage park & ride).
Neither Support or Oppose	To put a 2 way cycleway on the south side of Linkfield Rd is crazy. Put it on racecourse side- easier to link to electric bridge. The signalisation of Linkfield Rd & Millhill is completely unnecessary. More use should be made of John Muir Way. More should be done to deal with the traffic situation along High St/North High Street. The management of which is currently a mess. Would be concerned about the introduction of any shared space after recent calls for moratorium on shared spaces. Main cycle route should be kept off High St/N High St and down the already well used route most cyclists use for commuting- Electric Bridge/New St. Increased facilities are all very well but what is East Lothian doing to curtail car use within the many housing developments that have received planning permission within the county. To think that everyone buying one of these new builds is going to cycle everywhere is dreaming. One evening not really enough for so much info. Unless something is done to restrict car use within all permitted developments then Musselburgh and other towns will drown in gridlock. High St/N High St is already past breaking point at peak times.
Oppose	
Oppose	Concerns re traffic + parking. Race days. Marathon. Shows. Every-day movement from Beulah.
Strongly Oppose	Think of the businesses on High St. We need to think of ways to get people into Musselburgh.
Strongly Oppose	
	Cycling to be encouraged but consideration must be given to resident parking, visitors travelling by car, buses etc.
	Attention should be given to routes which keep bikes/cyclists clear of cars/motorists/parking. Together they are a lethal combination.
	Bike paths look really good. Ignore all the negative old folk!
	I think the bike paths looks good. Somewhere kids can ride bikes safely.