

Members' Library Service Request Form

Date of Document	23/08/13
Originator	Diane Robertson
Originator's Ref (if any)	
Document Title	Certification Of Death (Scotland) Act 2011 - Consultation On The
	Expedited 'Not Staying Registration' Procedure

Please indicate if access to the document is to be "unrestricted" or "restricted", with regard to the terms of the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985.

Unrestricted	\boxtimes	Restricted			
--------------	-------------	------------	--	--	--

If the document is "restricted", please state on what grounds (click on grey area for drop-down menu):

For Publication

Please indicate which committee this document should be recorded into (click on grey area for drop-down menu):

Cabinet		
Cuomic		

Additional information:

This report was approved by Eileen Morrison in the absence of Tom Shearer

Authorised By	Eileen Morrison
Designation	Customer Services Manager
Date	26/08/13

For Office Use Only:	
Library Reference	187/13
Date Received	26/08/13
Bulletin	Aug13



REPORT TO: Members' Library Service

MEETING DATE:

BY: Head of Policy and Partnerships

SUBJECT: Certification of Death (Scotland) Act 2011 – Consultation

on the Expedited 'Not staying registration' procedure

1 PURPOSE

1.1 The purpose of the report is to approve the East Lothian Council response to the Consultation Document issued by the Scottish Government on their proposed Certification of Death (Scotland) Act 2011 – Consultation on the Expedited – 'Not staying registration' procedure.

2 RECOMMENDATIONS

2.1 To note the comments attached on the Implementation of the Certification of Death (Scotland) Act 2011 respondent information form and to submit them by 30th August 2013.

3 BACKGROUND

- 3.1 The Certification of Death (Scotland) Act 2011 was introduced to update the certification of death process in Scotland. The aims of the legislation are to:
 - Introduce a single system of independent, effective scrutiny applicable to deaths that do not require a Procurator Fiscal investigation
 - Improve the quality and accuracy of Medical Certificates of Cause of Death (MCCDs)
 - Provide improved public health information and strengthened clinical governance in relation to deaths
- 3.2 The 2011 Act establishes the role of Medical Reviewer and Senior Medical Reviewer, supported by Medical Reviewer Assistants, whose

primary functions are to conduct reviews of Medical Certificates of Cause of Death (MCCDs) expeditiously but thoroughly. They will also have a role in providing training, guidance and support to doctors who certify the cause of death and they will liaise with other persons and bodies with a view to improving the accuracy of these certificates.

- 3.3 The date at which the Act comes into force is the same date at which the new system can start, currently projected for spring 2014.
- 3.4 Local Authorities in Scotland have been asked to make comment on the "Not Staying Registration" Procedure which allows informants to apply in special circumstances for the funeral to continue with delay.
- 3.5 The special circumstances categories have been identified as:
 - i. Not staying registration for **religious or cultural reasons** for example, some religious traditions require burial within 24 hours and some communities have a tradition of burial within three days.
 - ii. Not staying registration for **compassionate reasons** for example, in the death of a child under 16 years or a stillbirth where a delay may cause significant additional distress.
 - iii. Not staying registration for **administrative or practical reasons** for example, where the family does not reside in Scotland and the deceased will be in transit to another part of the UK or international destinations.
- 3.6 A meeting was called to discuss the proposed response from East Lothian Council and representatives from the Registration service, Law and Licensing and Amenity Services were invited. The response from East Lothian Council is attached as an Appendix.

4 POLICY IMPLICATIONS

- 4.1 The Certification of Death (Scotland) Act 2011 will be introduced in Spring 2014. This is the same date at which the new procedures will come into force.
- 4.2 The result will be that 25% of Death Registrations being subject to further scrutiny by independent Medical Advisers. The 'Non staying registration' procedure will allow informants to apply in special circumstances for the funeral to continue without delay.

5 EQUALITIES IMPACT ASSESSMENT

5.1 An equalities impact assessment has been undertaken by the Scottish Government and comment has been requested as part of the Consultation process.

6 RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

- 6.1 Financial There will likely be an additional administrative resource cost with respect to an increased amount of time being spent on a Death Registration appointment where the Registration has been chosen for further scrutiny. In East Lothian this would equate to approximately one appointment per day based on the % of death certificates being checked to the no. of deaths being registered within the East Lothian Council area.
- 6.2 Personnel None
- 6.3 Other None

7 BACKGROUND PAPERS

- 9.1 Implementation of the Certification of Death (Scotland) Act 2011 Consultation on the Expedited/"Not Staying Registration" Procedure
- 9.2 Implementation of the Certification of Death (Scotland) Act 2011 Completed respondent Information Form from East Lothian Council

AUTHOR'S NAME	Diane Robertson
DESIGNATION	Service Improvement Manager
CONTACT INFO	Tel. No. 01875 824100
	Email: drobertson@eastlothian.gov.uk
DATE	23 rd August 2013

Implementation of the Certification of Death (Scotland) Act 2011

Consultation on the Expedited/
"Not Staying Registration" Procedure



IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CERTIFICATION OF DEATH (SCOTLAND) ACT 2011

CONSULTATION ON THE EXPEDITED / "NOT STAYING REGISTRATION" PROCEDURE

Executive Summary

This consultation seeks views on one aspect of the planned changes to the death certification process in Scotland, which will be implemented from spring 2014. Specifically, it seeks views on the arrangements that should be in place for any rare situations where there is a need and a clear rationale for a funeral to proceed within a specific timescale and where that timescale may not be met if the standard review procedure is followed.

1. Purpose

This consultation seeks views on the proposals for an expedited procedure for the review of Medical Certificates of Cause of Death (MCCD) under the new Scottish death certification system, which will be implemented in Scotland from spring 2014.

2. Consultation Arrangements

Please send your views and comments on the proposals set out in this document to:

Burial, Cremation and Death Certification Team Scottish Government St Andrew's House (3EN) Regent Road Edinburgh EH1 3DG

Tel 0131 244 2711

E-mail: certificationofdeath@scotland.gsi.gov.uk

Responses should reach us by 30 August 2013

Handling your response

We need to know how you wish your response to be handled and, in particular, whether you are happy for your response to be made public. All respondents should be aware that the Scottish Government is subject to the provisions of the Freedom of Information (Scotland) Act 2002 and would therefore have to consider any request made to it under the Act for information relating to responses made to this consultation exercise.

Please complete and return the <u>Respondent Information Form</u> attached at <u>Annex</u> <u>A</u> along with your Consultation Response Template Form at Annex B as this will ensure that we treat your response appropriately. If you ask for your response not to be published we will regard it as confidential, and we will treat it accordingly.

3. Background

- 3.1 The <u>Certification of Death (Scotland) Act 2011</u> ("the 2011 Act") was introduced to update the certification of death process in Scotland. The aims of the legislation are to:
 - Introduce a single system of independent, effective scrutiny applicable to deaths that do not require a Procurator Fiscal investigation
 - Improve the quality and accuracy of Medical Certificates of Cause of Death (MCCDs)
 - Provide improved public health information and strengthened clinical governance in relation to deaths
- 3.2 The 2011 Act establishes the role of Medical Reviewer and Senior Medical Reviewer, supported by Medical Reviewer Assistants, whose primary functions are to conduct reviews of Medical Certificates of Cause of Death (MCCDs) expeditiously but thoroughly. They will also have a role in providing training, guidance and support to doctors who certify the cause of death and they will liaise with other persons and bodies with a view to improving the accuracy of these certificates.
- 3.3 The date at which the Act comes into force is the same date at which the new system can start, currently projected for spring 2014.

4. The Review Process

- 4.1 Under the terms of the 2011 Act, the new review process commences when someone ('the informant') visits the registrar to register a death. The registrar will take the informant through the registration process and towards the end of that interaction will check and advise if the MCCD which contains information relating to the death has been selected for review. Cases for review will be selected randomly. It is expected that around 25% of all cases where there is no referral to the Procurator Fiscal will be reviewed every year.
- 4.2 Once a certificate is selected for review the registrar will advise the informant of this and that they will be contacted and/or sent the official extract of death once the review has been completed. The review will be undertaken by one of the nationally-appointed Medical Reviewers. It is expected that reviews will normally take between one to three days. Most reviews will be 'Level 1' reviews, which will involve a review of the MCCD and a discussion with the certifying doctor. These reviews are expected to be completed within one working day. A smaller number of MCCDs will be subject to 'Level 2' reviews, which will involve a more detailed review of the clinical information surrounding the death and the MCCD. Although Level 2 reviews are more detailed the expectation is still that these reviews will be completed quickly, within three working days at most.
- 4.3 It is anticipated that the system will not affect the vast majority of funeral arrangements, which in Scotland tend to take place 7 days after the death. Additionally, Medical Reviewers will be mindful at all times of the need to minimise disruption to be eaved families and to complete reviews as quickly as possible.
- 4.4 Nevertheless, it is accepted that arrangements should be in place for any rare situations where there is a need and a clear rationale for a funeral to proceed within

a specific timescale and where that timescale may not be met if the standard review procedure is followed.

4.5 The 2011 Act provides powers to enable an expedited review procedure in Section 6 ('Request for review not to stay registration') and Section 7 ('Medical reviewer to determine whether review to stay registration'), however neither section sets out the criteria for determining which cases should be eligible for this procedure, except to say that it will be for the Medical Reviewer to 'determine whether it is appropriate'. Guidance is therefore required to support the work of the Medical Reviewers in those rare instances where an expedited procedure may be appropriate. This consultation seeks views on the proposed key principles of the expedited procedure, to enable registration to proceed (not to stay registration).

5. Not staying registration (Expedited Procedure): Key Principles

5.1 It is expected that in the vast majority of cases the standard review procedure will be appropriate and one to three day extension to the registration procedure will not add any significant delay to the funeral arrangements. The "not staying registration" (expedited) procedure should be available in specific circumstances where there is a clear rationale for a funeral to proceed within a quicker timescale.

Question 1: Do you agree that the "not staying registration" (expedited) procedure should only apply in situations where there is a clear rationale for a funeral to proceed within a specific timescale?

5.2 In practice, at the point of registration, and once an MCCD has been selected for review, it will be a matter for the informant to request that the registration not be stayed, if they have any concerns that the review will have an adverse impact due to a delay in the funeral. The registrar will have responsibility to make the informant aware that the procedure not to stay registration exists, but will not be expected to recommend or suggest the procedure.

Question 2: Do you agree that the role of the registrar is to make informants aware, in response to a request, of the "not staying registration" (expedited) procedure?

5.3 If the informant wishes to request the registration not to be stayed, they will make the request to the registrar. The 2011 Act requires the registrar to include in the referral to the Medical Reviewer a statement by the informant which they believe justifies registering the death before the review is complete (not staying registration). In order to streamline this process and to ensure consistency in requests to the Medical Reviewer it would seem appropriate that a standard form is in place for an informant to easily set out the reason for requesting the "not staying registration" (expedited) procedure.

Question 3: Do you agree that there would be value in having a form for informants to complete in circumstances where the "not staying registration" (expedited) procedure is requested?

- Once a request has been made and the proposed form (Question 3 above) has been completed, the registrar will pass the request to the Medical Reviewer. The Medical Reviewer will make a decision as to whether or not to allow registration to continue based on the information available in the form, from the MCCD and from any other sources he/she considers appropriate.
- 5.6 The registrar <u>must</u> pass on any request they receive to the Medical Reviewer, and, as set down in legislation, it is for the Medical Reviewer alone to determine whether or not to allow a registration to go ahead before the review is complete. The expectation is that contact will be made with the Medical Reviewer directly, by telephone, followed by sending a scanned copy of the MCCD and the proposed form (Question 3 above), to seek a decision on whether or not the registration can proceed before the review is complete.
- 5.7 The 2011 Act allows the MR to require further information and allows them to make any enquiries they consider appropriate. It is expected that the decision as to whether or not to stay registration should be made within a few hours and within that same working day.
- 5.8 If the Medical Reviewer agrees to allow the registration to proceed before the review is complete, this will mean that the body can be released and the funeral can proceed while the review takes place in the background. In practice this means that the registrar will complete the registration and issue the formal extract of death (Form 14), which is the legal form required before any funeral can take place. As stated elsewhere, it is expected that the option of not staying registration will be used rarely, and will be the exception.

Question 4: Do you agree that these proposed timescales will ensure the system will meet the needs of informants in those circumstances where there is a clear rationale for the funeral to proceed within a specific timescale?

6. Determining whether it is appropriate to grant the expedited procedure

- 6.1 An informant can request an expedited procedure in any case randomly selected for review, and every such request must be passed, by the registrar, to the Medical Reviewer for a decision. It is entirely a matter for the Medical Reviewer to determine whether or not to agree to the request.
- 6.2 There is a need to provide clear and consistent guidance to Medical Reviewers, to registrars and to the public as to the sort of circumstances where expedited procedure might be appropriate. This will ensure, as far as possible, that granting or refusing expedited procedure requests is consistent across Scotland, and that all parties involved have a shared understanding of what is applicable.
- 6.3 As detailed in Question 4 above, we would propose that a form be developed which will be the mechanism for the informant to set out the justification for not

staying registration. It is proposed that such a form would detail a set of categories which are intended to highlight the sort of circumstances where not staying registration (expedited procedure) may be appropriate. Although it is not for registrars to grant or refuse expedited procedure a list of pre-defined categories within Guidance, and included on the proposed form, would helpfully set out the sort of circumstances where not staying registration (expedited procedure) may be appropriate, and where a request is most likely to succeed.

Question 5: Do you agree that it would be useful to set down in Guidance, and on the proposed form, the sort of categories where it may be appropriate to request that registration is not stayed (expedited procedure)?

- 6.4 It is not intended that such a list of categories in itself defines when expedited procedure should be granted. That remains a matter for Medical Reviewers to determine and decide if it is appropriate to do so. The expedited procedure can be granted or refused by the Medical Reviewer in any case.
- 6.5 It is suggested that the following list of categories sets out the key circumstances where not staying registration might be appropriate:
 - i. Not staying registration for **religious or cultural reasons** for example, some religious traditions require burial within 24 hours and some communities have a tradition of burial within three days.
 - ii. Not staying registration for **compassionate reasons** for example, in the death of a child under 16 years or a stillbirth where a delay may cause significant additional distress.
 - iii. Not staying registration for **administrative or practical reasons** for example, where the family does not reside in Scotland and the deceased will be in transit to another part of the UK or international destinations for a funeral; or where the body is being donated to medical research and has to be preserved quickly.

Question 6: Do you agree with these categories, and is there anything additional that should be added?

7. Guidance, Training and Communications

- 7.1 The Scottish Government will produce Guidance informed by the views provided in response to this consultation. This Guidance will set out the procedures that registrars and Medical Reviewers will follow. It is expected that the Guidance will be reviewed regularly, to ensure the expedited procedure is fit for purpose. It is also expected that requests to not stay registration will be peer reviewed regularly by other Medical Reviewers to improve consistency of decision-making process and to reduce variation.
- 7.2 The outcome of the consultation, and the Guidance which is produced as a result, will also inform educational and training materials to be produced by NHS Education Scotland, which will be in place prior to the launch of the new process.

7.3 Ahead of the launch of the new death certification process a range of communications will be undertaken with the public and professionals to make them aware of the new system. It is expected that the information materials available to be be available to detailed information on the procedure for not staying registration (expedited procedure) and when it might apply.

Question 7: Do you agree that these approaches are sensible? Is there anything else that should be considered in relation to guidance around the procedure not to stay registration (expedited procedure)?

ANNEX A

Implementation of the Certification of Death (Scotland) Act 2011



RESPONDENT INFORMATION FORM

Please Note this form **must** be returned with your response to ensure that we handle your response appropriately

	ame/Organi anisation Nai									
Title	e Mr 🗌 Ms	s□ Mrs	☐ Miss[I	Dr 🗌	Ple	ease ti	ck as	approp	riate
Surr	name									
Fore	ename									
2. P	ostal Addre	ss								
Pos	stcode		Phone				Email			
3 P	ermissions	- I am re	snondina	as						
J. 1 V	_	Individua		/ /		ıp/Or	ganis	ation		
			Please tick	asa						
(a)	Do you agr	oo to you			(c)	Tho	namo	and a	oddroes (of vour
(a)	response b	eing mad	Э		(c) The name and address of your organisation will be made available to the public (in the				le	
	available to Scottish Go							-	public (ir ment libi	
	and/or on t Governmen				and/or on the Scottish Government web site).					
	Please ticl								,	
(b)	Where con		is not	Are you content for your						
	requested, responses public on the	we will ma	ake your to the			resp	oonse lable?	to be		
	Please tick following l	k ONE of					ase tic 'es [k as a No	appropri	ate

	Yes, make my response, name and address all available					
		or				
	Yes, make my response available, but not my name and address					
		or				
	Yes, make my response and name available, but not my address					
(d)	We will share your resp policy teams who may b wish to contact you again so. Are you content for So to this consultation exercis	e addi in the cottish	ress futu	sing the issues yure, but we requir	ou discu e your pe	uss. They may ermission to do
	Please tick as appropria	te		☐ Yes		□No

ANNEX B

CONSULTATION RESPONSE TEMPLATE FORM

<u>Please remember to return your response along with the Respondent Information</u> <u>Form at **Annex A**</u>

Question 1: Do you agree that the "not staying registration" (expedited) procedure should only apply in situations where there is a clear rationale for a funeral to proceed within a specific timescale? Please tick as appropriate ☐ Yes ☐ No Additional Comments: Question 2: Do you agree that the role of the registrar is to make informants aware, in response to a request, of the "not staying registration" (expedited) procedure? Please tick as appropriate Yes No Additional Comments: Question 3: Do you agree that there would be value in having a form for informants to complete in circumstances where the "not staying registration" (expedited) procedure is requested? Please tick as appropriate Yes No Additional Comments: Question 4: Do you agree that these proposed timescales will ensure the system will meet the needs of informants in those circumstances where there is a clear rationale for the funeral to proceed within a specific timescale? Please tick as appropriate Yes No Additional Comments:

Question 5: Do you agree that it would be useful to set down in Guidance, and



© Crown copyright 2013

You may re-use this information (excluding logos and images) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence. To view this licence, visit http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/ or e-mail: psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk.

Where we have identified any third party copyright information you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

ISBN: 978-1-78256-766-0 (web only)

The Scottish Government St Andrew's House Edinburgh EH1 3DG

Produced for the Scottish Government by APS Group Scotland DPPAS14509 (07/13)

Published by the Scottish Government, July 2013

www.scotland.gov.uk

ANNEX A

Implementation of the Certification of Death (Scotland) Act 2011



RESPONDENT INFORMATION FORM

Please Note this form **must** be returned with your response to ensure that we handle your response appropriately

	ame/Org		on								
Eas	st Lothiar	Counc	il								
Title	Mr 🗌	Ms □	Mrs	X Miss [Dı	r 🗌	Ple	ase tic	k as a	ppropriate	
Suri	name										
Rol	bertson										
Fore	ename										-
Dia	ne										
2 P	ostal Ad	drace									
	n Muir H										
	ddington										
	st Lothian	l									
	PostcodeEH41 3HA Phone 01875 824100 Email drobertson@eastlothian.gov						gov.u				
2 D	ormiccio	ne la	m ro	onondina	00						
J. F	ei iiii 5510			sponding	a5 1		10	·	_1!	Ī	
		inai	vidua		,			rganis			
				Please tick	as a	appropr	iate		X		
(a)	Do you respons available Scottish and/or of Government	e being e to the Govern on the S	mad publi nmen cottis	e c (in t library h		(c)	org ava Sco and	anisationila ailable t	on wil l o the p overn the So		
(b)	Please Yes Where	☐ No					Δre	אטוו כנ	ontent	for your	
(5)		ed, we vec	will ma lable	ake your to the			res	sponse ailable?	to be		

	Please tick ONE of the following boxes			ick as appropriate ☑ No
	Yes, make my response, name and address all available			
		or		
	Yes, make my response available, but not my name and address			
		or		
	Yes, make my response and name available, but not my address			
(d)	We will share your resp policy teams who may b wish to contact you again so. Are you content for So to this consultation exercis	e addre in the f cottish (se?	essing the issues y uture, but we requir Government to cont	ou discuss. They may e your permission to do
	Please tick as appropria	ite	X Yes	□No

ANNEX B

CONSULTATION RESPONSE TEMPLATE FORM

<u>Please remember to return your response along with the Respondent Information</u> <u>Form at **Annex A**</u>

Question 1: Do you agree that the "not staying registration" (expedited) procedure should only apply in situations where there is a clear rationale for a funeral to proceed within a specific timescale?
Please tick as appropriate X Yes ☐ No
Additional Comments: We agree that the procedure should only apply in situations where there is a clear rationale for a funeral to proceed within a specific timescale. Any guidelines issued must be clear and have no grey areas. It must be clear as to what the rationale is which allows a funeral to proceed within a specific timescale.
Question 2: Do you agree that the role of the registrar is to make informants aware, in response to a request, of the "not staying registration" (expedited) procedure?
Please tick as appropriate X Yes ☐ No
Additional Comments:
We agree that the role of the registrar is to make informants aware of the procedure however only in direct response to an enquiry by the informant.
Question 3: Do you agree that there would be value in having a form for informants to complete in circumstances where the "not staying registration" (expedited) procedure is requested?
Please tick as appropriate X Yes ☐ No
Additional Comments:
We agree that there would be significant value in having a form for informants to complete however where possible electronic completion of forms and also the transmission of them to the Medical Advisers would speed up communication.
Giving advice on the completion of forms may impact on the time allocated to a Registration appointment and therefore any yes/no answers within the form may speed up its completion, narrow down anomalies and reduce confusion for the

to form a constructive statement in support of the application.

informant. A statement in support of the reason for applying for a 'not staying registration' may also disadvantage those persons who are either not in great command of English or are not as literate as others. This may impinge on the ability

Question 4: Do you agree that these proposed timescales will ensure the system will meet the needs of informants in those circumstances where there is a clear rationale for the funeral to proceed within a specific timescale? Please tick as appropriate		
Additional Comments:		
We have concerns that the Registration member of staff may not be able to make contact with the Medical Adviser within the time of the allocated appointment. In the case of subsequent pre-booked appointments, this may result in a backlog of workload.		
5.7 of consultation document states 'It is expected that the decision as to whether or not to stay registration should be made within a few hours and within the same working day'. We would suggest that within a few hours is replaced with a definite timescale that can be measured.		
Question 5: Do you agree that it would be useful to set down in Guidance, and on the proposed form, the sort of categories where it may be appropriate to request that registration is not stayed (expedited procedure)?		
Please tick as appropriate X Yes ☐ No		
Additional Comments:		
We agreed that it would be useful to set down in the Guidance, 'definite' categories rather than the 'sort' of categories. We would also suggest that it is only the 'definite' categories that are considered and that an 'other' option is not given for application for a not stayed registration.		
Question 6: Do you agree with these categories, and is there anything additional that should be added?		
Please tick as appropriate X Yes ☐ No		
Tiease tick as appropriate - X Tes - Tivo		

Question 7: Do you agree that these approaches are sensible? Is there anything else that should be considered in relation to guidance around the procedure not to stay registration (expedited procedure)?

Please tick as appropriate	X Yes	☐ No
----------------------------	-------	------

Additional Comments:

We agree that these approaches are sensible and also suggest that it is important that information is made available to families in advance of the Registration of Death. We would suggest that this would be at the time of issue of the Medical Certification.

We would also suggest that there is a National helpline number publicised on all marketing material so that questions can be answered and advice given.

We would also suggest that Undertakers and other professional bodies are made fully aware of the changes and associated procedures.

We think that the terminology used in this procedure will be confusing and difficult to understand by our informants ie. 'not staying registration'. The name of the procedure should be in layman terms and in plain English.

We think that the random sample of 25% is particularly high thus impacting on the resources required to manage Registration appointments on a daily basis. For East Lothian alone this would amount to 250 samples, the equivalent of 1 event per day. This will have greater impact on larger authorities with higher numbers of Registration events.

We would like clarification that any fees chargeable to the informant have been waived.

We have concern about the additional financial cost to local authorities in having to send out via. Royal Mail, certificates from Death Registrations that have been subject to scrutiny. We also think that there will be a resource implication for the Registration service as Death Registrations subject to scrutiny with additional administrative workload and associated telephone calls etc to Medical Adviser.

We would like clarification as to whether there are plans to give any financial remuneration to Local Authorities to compensate for the additional time spent at time of Death Registration and subsequent administrative workload liaising with Medical Adviser.

We feel it is important that any procedures are applied consistently on a National basis.