

REPORT TO: Petitions Committee

MEETING DATE: 12 September 2013

BY: Depute Chief Executive (Resources and People Services)

SUBJECT: Petitions Submitted Deemed to be out with the Remit of the

Committee

1 PURPOSE

1.1 To inform the Petitions Committee of a petition submitted which has been rejected on the grounds that it does not meet the criteria for consideration by the Committee.

2 RECOMMENDATIONS

2.1 That Members note that the petition outlined in Appendix 1 does not meet the criteria and will therefore not be considered by the Committee.

3 BACKGROUND

3.1 The Petitions Committee is asked to note that 1 petition has been received that does not meet the criteria and is therefore not competent. Details of this petition are outlined in Appendix 1.

4 POLICY IMPLICATIONS

4.1 None.

5 EQUALITIES IMPACT ASSESSMENT

5.1 This report is not applicable to the well being of equalities groups and an Equalities Impact Assessment is not required.

6 RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

- 6.1 Financial none.
- 6.2 Personnel none.
- 6.3 Other none.

7 BACKGROUND PAPERS

- 7.1 East Lothian Council Standing Orders Appendix 1: Scheme of Administration Petitions Committee.
- 7.2 Criteria for petitions to East Lothian Council.

AUTHOR'S NAME	Lel Gillingwater/Jill Totney				
DESIGNATION	Democratic Services Manager				
CONTACT INFO	Fiona Currie, Committees Assistant				
	Tel: 01620 827586				
	fcurrie@eastlothian.gov.uk				
DATE	02/09/2013				

Appendix 1

EAST LOTHIAN COUNCIL PETITIONS COMMITTEE REJECTED PETITIONS 12 SEPTEMBER 2013

Petition	Subject of Petition	Date	Principal	Number of	Grounds for Rejection
Ref:		Received	Petitioner	Signatures	
PET 13/03	To designate the lake at LaFarge North West Quarry in Dunbar to permit public access to include community groups	2013	S Hanmer	Request for an e-petition was refused	LaFarge, as the owner of the land on which the quarry lake stands, is entitled to dispose of it as they see fit. They have entered into a lease with the RSPB that governs what activities can take place at the lake. The Council have no locus or statutory power to interfere in that relationship.