

REPORT TO: Petitions Committee

MEETING DATE: 11 June 2015

BY: Depute Chief Executive (Resources and People Services)

SUBJECT: Petitions Submitted Deemed to be out with the Remit of the Committee

1 PURPOSE

- 1.1 To inform the Petitions Committee of the petition submitted which have been rejected on the grounds that they do not meet the criteria for consideration by the Committee.

2 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2.1 That the Petitions Committee notes that the petitions outlined in Appendix 1 do not meet the criteria and will therefore not be considered by the Committee.

3 BACKGROUND

- 3.1 The Petitions Committee is asked to note that 2 petitions have been received that do not meet the criteria and are therefore not competent. Details of these petitions are outlined in Appendix 1.

4 POLICY IMPLICATIONS

- 4.1 None.

5 EQUALITIES IMPACT ASSESSMENT

- 5.1 This report is not applicable to the well being of equalities groups and an Equalities Impact Assessment is not required.

6 RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

- 6.1 Financial – none.
- 6.2 Personnel – none.
- 6.3 Other – none.

7 BACKGROUND PAPERS

- 7.1 East Lothian Council Standing Orders – Appendix 1: Scheme of Administration – Petitions Committee.
- 7.2 Criteria for petitions to East Lothian Council.

AUTHOR'S NAME	Lel Gillingwater/Jill Totney
DESIGNATION	Democratic Services Manager
CONTACT INFO	Fiona Currie, Committees Assistant Tel: 01620 827586 fcurrie@eastlothian.gov.uk
DATE	28/05/2015

**EAST LOTHIAN COUNCIL PETITIONS COMMITTEE
REJECTED PETITIONS**

Petition Ref:	Subject of Petition	Date Received	Principal Petitioner	Number of Signatures	Grounds for Rejection
PET1406	'Coastal Regeneration Alliance Against proposed Cockenzie Energy Park,	26/08/14	Keith Currie	None (e-petition request)	The action being sought was not within the power of East Lothian Council. The proposals for the Energy Park are in the hands of Scottish Enterprise, Scottish Power and others.
PET1501	Main Issues Report, Presumption Against UGE	30/01/15	Isla Aitken 'No Fracking North Berwick'	27 (paper petition)	It was deemed to be a request for which a petition is not the appropriate form. The request was instead treated as a response to the Main Issues Report consultation, which closed on 8 th February 2015.