

REPORT TO: Audit and Governance Committee

MEETING DATE: 26 September 2017

BY: Depute Chief Executive (Resources & People Services)

SUBJECT: Internal Audit Report – Non-Residential Charging

1 PURPOSE

1.1 To inform the Audit and Governance Committee of the recently issued audit report on Non-Residential Charging.

2 RECOMMENDATION

2.1 That the Audit and Governance Committee note the contents of the Executive Summary and Action Plan.

3 BACKGROUND

- 3.1 A review of the internal controls surrounding Non-Residential Charging was undertaken as part of the Audit Plan for 2017/18.
- 3.2 The main objective of the audit was to ensure that the internal controls in place were operating effectively.
- 3.3 The main findings from our audit work are outlined in the attached report.

4 POLICY IMPLICATIONS

4.1 None

5 INTEGRATED IMPACT ASSESSMENT

5.1 The subject of this report does not affect the wellbeing of the community or have a significant impact on equality, the environment or economy.

6 RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

- 6.1 Financial None
- 6.2 Personnel None
- 6.3 Other None

7 BACKGROUND PAPERS

7.1 None

AUTHOR'S NAME	Mala Garden
DESIGNATION	Internal Audit Manager
CONTACT INFO	01620 827326
DATE	14 September 2017

EAST LOTHIAN COUNCIL – INTERNAL AUDIT NON-RESIDENTIAL CHARGING

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1 Introduction

As part of the Audit Plan for 2017/18, a review was undertaken of the Charging for Non-Residential Social Care Services. A summary of our main findings is outlined below.

1.2 Areas where Expected Controls were Met

- A Charging Policy for Non-Residential Social Care 2017/18 is in place. The Policy was recently updated and sets out the services to be charged in 2017/18, the charges applicable for each service and the financial assessment process.
- For a sample of services reviewed, we found that the charges applied were in accordance with the agreed rates.
- Appropriate arrangements are in place for the administration of the home meals service.

1.3 Areas with Scope for Improvement

- There was a delay in finalising the charges for Non-Residential Social Care Services for 2017/18, resulting in charges being applied at the previous year's rates for the first three months of the financial year. Risk – loss of income to the Council.
- In some cases, there was a lack of documentation on file to support the income and capital figures used in financial assessments. Risk lack of a clear audit trail.
- The capital thresholds currently applied in the financial assessment process require review. *Risk failure to follow the COSLA guidance.*
- At present, there is a lack of consistency in the de-minimis limit being applied to the charging of Non-Residential Social Care Services. *Risk loss of income to the Council.*
- There has been a delay in resolving the issues surrounding the charging arrangements for Council tenants with community alarms, resulting in a loss of income to the Council. Risk failure to collect all income due.

1.4 Summary

Our review of the Charging for Non-Residential Social Care Services has identified a number of areas with scope for improvement. Detailed findings and recommendations are contained in our main audit report.

Mala Garden Internal Audit Manager

September 2017

EAST LOTHIAN COUNCIL – INTERNAL AUDIT NON-RESIDENTIAL CHARGING

ACTION PLAN

PARA REF	RECOMMENDATION	GRADE	RESPONSIBLE OFFICER	AGREED ACTION	RISK ACCEPTED/ MANAGED	AGREED DATE OF COMPLETION
3.1.2	Management should ensure that information published on the Council's website is updated to reflect the current charges for Non-Residential Social Care Services.	Medium	Group Service Manager – Planning and Performance	Agreed		In Place
3.2.1	Management should ensure that the annual revision of charges is approved timeously to enable the updated rates to be applied from the start of the financial year.	Medium	Group Service Manager – Planning and Performance	Agreed		February 2018
3.3.1	Management should seek to resolve the issues surrounding the charging of community alarms for Council tenants as a matter of urgency.	High	Group Service Manager – Planning and Performance in conjunction with other relevant Service Managers	Agreed – will require input from a number of service areas including Council Resources and Community Housing		March 2018
	Management should ensure that regular reconciliations are carried out between the list of service users held by Telecare and the list held by the Debtors section.	Medium	Group Service Manager – Planning and Performance	Agreed		October 2017

PARA REF	RECOMMENDATION	GRADE	RESPONSIBLE OFFICER	AGREED ACTION	RISK ACCEPTED/ MANAGED	AGREED DATE OF COMPLETION
3.4.1	Management should review the Mosaic system parameters with a view to incorporating the state pension qualifying age within the income thresholds. Management should review the capital thresholds currently applied in the financial assessment process.	Medium	Group Service Manager – Planning and Performance	Agreed		March 2018
3.4.3	Appropriate documentation should be held on file to support the income and capital figures used in financial assessments.	Medium	Service Manager – Benefits	Agreed		October 2017
3.4.4	Management should review the current arrangements in place for raising invoices. Management should review the current arrangements whereby no	Medium	Group Service Manager – Planning and Performance	Agreed – will be addressed as part of a wider business review		December 2017 March 2018
	contributions are being sought from service users whose assessed maximum contribution is less than £12.50 per week.					
	Management should ensure that invoices clearly indicate if the rate charged is a weekly rate or an hourly rate.			Agreed		October 2017

Grading of Recommendations

In order to assist Management in using our reports, we categorise our recommendations according to their level of priority as follows:

Level	Definition
High	Recommendations which are fundamental to the system and upon which Management should take immediate action.
Medium	Recommendations which will improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the existing controls.
Low	Recommendations concerning minor issues that are not critical, but which may prevent attainment of best practice and/or operational efficiency.